

## STEREOGRAPHIC PROJECTION (IN PROGRESS)

JOSHUA R. DAVIS

Let  $S$  be the unit sphere

$$S = \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$$

and  $\vec{p} = (0, 0, 1)$  its “north pole”. For any point  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, 0)$  in the  $x_1$ - $x_2$ -plane, there is a unique line  $L$  through  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{p}$ , and this line intersects  $S$  in exactly two points. One is  $\vec{p}$ ; call the other one  $\vec{b}$ .

Define  $\vec{x} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  by sending  $(u, v)$  to  $(u, v, 0)$  and then sending  $(u, v, 0)$  to its corresponding  $\vec{b}$ -point on  $S$ . It’s easy to see that this map  $\vec{x}$  is injective and hits every point on  $S$  except the north pole. Explicitly,

$$\vec{x}(u, v) = \left( \frac{2u}{1 + u^2 + v^2}, \frac{2v}{1 + u^2 + v^2}, \frac{-1 + u^2 + v^2}{1 + u^2 + v^2} \right).$$

Under  $\vec{x}$ , the unit circle is mapped to the equator and the unit disk is mapped to the southern hemisphere; the rest of the  $u$ - $v$ -plane is mapped to the northern hemisphere. As  $|(u, v)| = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} \rightarrow \infty$ , the third coordinate of  $\vec{x}$  goes to 1, so  $\vec{x}(u, v) \rightarrow \vec{p}$ . Identifying the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with its image under  $\vec{x}$ , we see that the sphere is the plane with a single “point at infinity” added.

The inverse map  $\vec{x}^{-1} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  that sends  $\vec{b}$  to  $\vec{a}$  (and then forgets about  $a_3 = 0$ ) is called *stereographic projection* from  $\vec{p}$ . It is defined everywhere on  $S$  except at  $\vec{p}$  itself.

One can define a parametrization around the north pole similarly, by sending  $(u, v)$  to  $(u, -v, 0)$  and then inverting stereographic projection from the south pole. The result is a map  $\vec{y} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow S$  given by

$$\vec{y}(s, t) = \left( \frac{2s}{1 + s^2 + t^2}, \frac{-2t}{1 + s^2 + t^2}, \frac{1 - s^2 - t^2}{1 + s^2 + t^2} \right).$$

It sends the unit circle to the equator and the unit disk to the northern hemisphere. It covers the sphere except for the south pole. Together,  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  cover the entire sphere. Their overlap is the sphere except for the two poles.

Notice the minus sign in the second coordinate of  $\vec{y}$ . This is necessary for the following beautiful magic to occur between  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$ . By simple algebra, one can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{y}(s, t) &= \vec{x} \left( \frac{s}{s^2 + t^2}, \frac{-t}{s^2 + t^2} \right), \\ \vec{x}(u, v) &= \vec{y} \left( \frac{u}{u^2 + v^2}, \frac{-v}{u^2 + v^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

These imply that the transition maps between the two charts are

$$\begin{aligned}(u, v) &= \left( \frac{s}{s^2 + t^2}, \frac{-t}{s^2 + t^2} \right), \\ (s, t) &= \left( \frac{u}{u^2 + v^2}, \frac{-v}{u^2 + v^2} \right).\end{aligned}$$

One can check that the Jacobians of the transition maps have positive determinant. So  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  induce the same orientation on their overlap in  $S$ . But their relationship is more special than just this.

Let's identify the real plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the complex line  $\mathbb{C}$ , so that  $(u, v) \leftrightarrow u + iv \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(s, t) \leftrightarrow s + it \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the transition maps are

$$\begin{aligned}u + iv &= \frac{s - it}{s^2 + t^2} = \frac{1}{s + it}, \\ s + it &= \frac{u - iv}{u^2 + v^2} = \frac{1}{u + iv}.\end{aligned}$$

So the transition maps are just complex number inversion! Of course, the number  $0 = 0 + i0 \leftrightarrow (0, 0)$  can't be inverted. The origin  $(0, 0)$  in the  $s$ - $t$ -plane maps to the north pole, which is the missing "point at infinity" in the  $u$ - $v$ -plane. Thus the sphere "completes" the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  by adding one more element, called  $\infty$ , that makes statements such as " $1/0 = \infty$ " rigorous. One can use this, for example, to construct an elegant theory of complex rational functions  $p(z)/q(z)$ , well-defined even where  $q(z) = 0$ .

Warning: The sphere of extended complex numbers  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  does not constitute a field, in the sense of abstract algebra. You cannot do arithmetic (particularly addition/subtraction) with  $\infty$ , even if it's very natural for geometry and complex analysis.