This is a Python programming assignment. You will edit your ongoing copy of qc.py and submit it for grading. The grader will import qc and then run their own testing code against it. Probably the grader will also inspect your code.

A. Write a function according to the following specification.

```
def continuedFraction(n, m, x0):
```

''x0 is a float in [0, 1). Tries probing depths j = 0, 1, 2, ... until the resulting rational approximation x0 ~ c / d satisfies either d >= m or $|x0 - c / d| \le 1 / 2^{(n + 1)}$. Returns a pair (c, d) with gcd(c, d) = 1.'''

If you don't know how to get started, then consider my approach: I first wrote a recursive helper function fraction(x0, j) that returns a pair (c, d) representing c/d in lowest terms. Then I wrote continuedFraction to call fraction in a loop.

B. Improve your shorTest function. It should still take n and m as arguments, randomly pick an appropriate k, and make the f function and the corresponding F gate. But now, instead of merely running the core subroutine once, it should execute the entire period-finding algorithm: nested loops, finding d, d', lcm(d, d'), and all that. It should also compute the period p in a second way: by brute force. It should print both versions of p, so that the user can judge whether the algorithm is working correctly.

By the way, here are my favorite combinations of n and m.

- For n = 5, the largest m that can be handled is m = 5.
- For n = 6, the largest m is m = 8, but m = 7 is more interesting.
- For n = 7, the largest m is m = 11. My computer takes about a minute to find the period. I also have an optimized version of shor called shorEfficient, such that shorTest takes about a second. You are not required to write shorEfficient, but you might want to contemplate how you would. Hint: shorEfficient takes as input f instead of F.
- For n = 8, the largest m is m = 15. After a few minutes in the core subroutine, my operating system kills Python for using too much RAM. But you might have more RAM than I do.

Shameful disclaimer: Occasionally, my Shor p is a multiple of my brute-force p. I'm not sure why. I've seen it happen only in the shorEfficient version of shorTest. It might be because our numbers are so small that some of the approximations are off. Or maybe there is a bug in my code or an error deep in the math. Anyway, e-mail me know if you experience the same phenomenon: shorTest almost always finds the true p, but occasionally finds a multiple.